



Evaluating Fungicide Applications on Corn and Wheat

**12th Annual Kansas Agricultural Research
Association (KARA) Conference
January 22 and 23, 2009
Salina, Kansas
www.ksagresearch.com**



**Kastens Inc. Farms
RR 2 Box 83
Herndon, KS 67739
(785) 626-9284
www.kastensinc.com**

**Precision Ag & Seed Services, LLC.
1550 W. Road 70
Scott City, KS 67871
(620) 872-5242**

Study Topics

- Recently fungicide use has become more widespread on irrigated wheat and corn crops as well as on dryland corn and wheat acres in Western Kansas.
 - In 2007, large yield advantages were shown on dryland wheat where fungicide was applied.
 - The cost of fungicide applications is significant and many questions exist that need addressed as we determine how best to manage fungicide use.
 - Do fungicides pay even in years when pressure is not observed to be high enough for an “official” recommendation of application?
 - Can we identify problems early enough that applications can be made in a timely fashion on a “field by field” basis?
-

Project Setup

- This study will look at fungicide applications in the 2008 season when pressure was not identified to be significant enough to warrant application
 - Fungicide applications on Kastens farm were made on targeted irrigated and dryland corn and wheat fields.
 - Fungicide applications on Vulgamore Farm were made on targeted irrigated and dryland corn and wheat fields
 - All applications were done aerially.
-

Project Setup –Example Field



SE/4 12-4-33 in Rawlins County, KS
Kastens Inc. Farms - Herndon, KS
785-626-4600

Yellow box shows approximately where we want the Quilt applied

Project Setup –Fungicide Application

- Application Wheat ■ Application Corn ■ Application Corn
- Kastens Farm ■ Kastens Farm ■ Vulgamore Farm

Date: 5/28/08

Quilt: 14 oz/ac

H2O: 2 gal/ac

Per acre cost: \$23.60

Assume:

\$5.00 bu wheat

Date: 7/30/08

Quilt: 14 oz/ac

H2O: 1 gal/ac

XRN: 1 gal/ac

Per acre cost: \$31.00

Assume:

\$4.00 bu corn

Date: 7/30/08

Quilt: 14 oz/ac

H2O: 1 gal/ac

XRN: 1 gal/ac

Per acre cost: \$31.00

Results

| Test | Bioforge (bu/ac) | Control (bu/ac) | 2.16 Adv. Bioforge (bu/ac) | Pttest pval | Pttest confidence | \$ Net Return @ \$4.00 Corn | (4.66) |
|------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| 1 | 143.10 | 147.17 | -4.07 | 0.00 | 99.5% | \$ | (29.56) |
| 2 | 147.71 | 144.41 | 3.30 | 0.07 | 93.1% | \$ | (0.06) |
| 3 | 148.82 | 141.35 | 7.46 | 0.00 | 100.0% | \$ | 16.57 |
| 4 | 148.56 | 146.64 | 1.92 | 0.09 | 90.6% | \$ | (5.58) |

Conclusions

- Over the whole test plot, I averaged a \$4.66 loss.
- Two of the test plots had results that were significant at a $p < 0.05$ level, unfortunately they went in opposite directions.
- Of the 4 plots, plot three showed the only significant gain in bushels as well as the only positive net return.
- Basically this test is very inconclusive other than to say that it might have increased yields, but not enough to cover costs.
- Why? Perhaps drought wasn't a limiting factor on this field this year. With yields between 141 bu/ac and 148 bu/ac across the whole plot with a 97 day corn planted at 15.5 k/ac, perhaps there just wasn't much upward yield potential left in each plant?

Questions, Comments, Suggestions

